

The sampling extends across the building footprint in this area because this is where soil is exposed and the risk for deeper contamination is perceived to be higher. Some of the other exceedence areas are in concrete where aggressive scarification should be effective at remediating PCBs

Agree that 10ppm is likely a better clean up objective. As far as additional characterization, the plan is to perform additional excavation/scarification across the 20'x20' grid area and re-sample

Why do the characterization samples extend all the way across? Shouldn't they just be focused in the locations with the exceedances?

What about these locations? We could ultimately look at 10ppm with a cap for high occupancy, so do these areas need more characterization?

Performed additional removal in this area and additional verification sampling is scheduled next week

